

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR
WP No. 2528 of 2025
(VICTIM X Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS)

Dated : 19-05-2025

Shri Alok Vagrecha, Shri Deepak Tiwari and Shri Vishal Baghel -
Advocates for petitioner.

Shri Swapnil Ganguly - Deputy Advocate General for
respondents/State.

Shri Prashant Singh - Advocate General with Shri Anvesh Shrivastava
- Advocate for respondent No.2/RDVV.

In pursuance to the order dated 08.05.2025, an inquiry report dated 16.05.2025 is placed before this Court in a sealed cover and on direction of this Court, the same is opened.

On perusal of the report, this Court finds that the order dated 08.05.2025 has not been completed in toto. There is no mentioning regarding functioning of particular CCTV camera in the room of the Vice Chancellor as on 21.11.2024. There is specific allegation that the incident has taken place in the room of the Vice Chancellor and the relevant time, the CCTV camera was functioning. The entire case is dependent upon the functioning of the CCTV camera itself.

As the report is silent about the same, instead of proceeding to pass a detailed order in the matter, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the Collector, District Jabalpur to file an affidavit along with documents/statements of the witnesses recorded by the committee on the

dates of their visit to the RDVV, Jabalpur. The Collector to examine all the materials which have been collected by the committee and then file an affidavit to show the complete compliance of the order dated 08.05.2025. Needful be done positively by tomorrow.

As this Court has shown its displeasure regarding the report submitted by the committee, if the affidavit of the Collector is not found to be satisfactory tomorrow, then this Court will be constrained to pass any adverse orders including shifting of the entire investigation to a third agency to do the complete justice in the matter.

List on 20.05.2025.

The report be sealed and handed over to learned Deputy Advocate General to enable him to produce it to the Collector for further examination and submission of the affidavit.

(VISHAL MISHRA)
JUDGE