



1

MCRC-24906-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT INDORE

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK JAIN

ON THE 12th OF JUNE, 2025MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 24906 of 2025*EKTA SONI**Versus**THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH*

.....
Appearance:

Shri Girish Patwardhan - Sr. Advocate with Shri Mutahir Ahmed Khan -
Advocate for applicant.

Shri Hemant Sharma - GA for State.

Shri Nitin Singh Bhati - Advocate for Objector.
.....

ORDER

This is the first application under Section 482 of BNSS 2023 filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.565/2025 registered at Police Station Lasudiya, Indore (M.P) for the offence punishable under Sections 311(2), 126(2), 291, 296, 119(1), 351(3) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

2. Learned counsel for applicant by taking this Court to the version of the prosecution case submits that the present applicant is a government servant and is posted as Assistant GST Commissioner at Indore. She had taken first floor of the residential house on rent from the complainant and in the FIR it is alleged that she did not vacate the house promptly after 11 months which was agreement period starting from 10.9.2023 and continued to remain in occupation of the house and further that when the complainant went to inspect the ground floor of the house which has not been let out to the present applicant then the present applicant did not let the complainant enter the ground floor and she unleashed her dog on the complainant. It is contended that the applicant is innocent and she has been falsely roped in the matter. She is



working on a responsible post of Asstt. GST Commissioner and the entire prosecution version against her is false. It is further argued that only to get the house vacated speedily such false allegations have been levelled against her.

3. Per contra, it is contended by learned counsel for the complainant that the applicant has even stopping the complainant from entering the ground floor of the house, which was never put in possession of the applicant nor let out to the applicant. It was also argued that the applicant has even stopped paying rent since last one year and wants to grab the property and is not letting the complainant enter the property and even got the complainant attacked by her dog and demanded Rupees 20.00 lakhs to vacate the house. Therefore, the anticipatory bail application be rejected.

4. Learned counsel for applicant at this stage submits that the complainant is always free to access the ground floor of his house which has admittedly not been let out to the applicant and also that the applicant would clear all the dues of rent and disputes that the dues are of last one year.

5. Considering the rival submissions and looking to the totality of facts and circumstances of the case and without commenting on the merits of the case, the application for anticipatory bail is allowed. It is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the aforesaid crime number and the offences, he be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand only) with one solvent surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Authority. As per her own undertaking the applicant shall not create any hurdle for the complainant in accessing the ground floor of the property nor create hurdle for the complainant to use the said ground floor in any manner he likes. The applicant shall also clear all the dues of rent as per her own undertaking and would continue to pay the monthly rent without fail. In case of default, the benefit of this order shall be liable to be withdrawn.

6. This order shall remain operative subject to compliance with the following



conditions by the applicant:-

- a. The applicant will comply with all the terms and conditions of the bond executed by her.
 - b. The applicant will co-operate in the investigation/trial, as the case may be;
 - c. The applicant will not indulge herself in extending inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Police Officer, as the case may be.
 - d. The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which she is accused;
 - e. The applicant will not seek unnecessary adjournments during the trial.
 - f. The applicant will not leave India without the previous permission of the trial Court/Investigating Officer, as the case may be;
 - g. The applicant shall not involve himself in any criminal activity in the future; otherwise, the benefit of bail shall immediately be withdrawn.
 - h. In case of bail jump, this order will become ineffective.
7. Application stands allowed and disposed of.
- 8.. A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court concerned for compliance and information.

Certified copy as per rules.

(VIVEK JAIN)
V. JUDGE