



RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

सत्यमेव जयते

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 1075/2025
Amar Singh, S/o Heer Singh

-----Appellant

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

Connected with

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 1074/2025
Vikram Panwar, S/o Ram Gopal Panwar

-----Appellant

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 1076/2025
Payal Sharma D/o Shri Manjeet Kumar Sharma

-----Appellant

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 1080/2025
Priyanka Choudhary S/o Lal Ram Choudhary

-----Appellant

Versus

Kailash Chand Sharma S/o Kanahiya Lal Sharma

-----Respondent

For Appellant(s) : Mr. R.N. Mathur, Sr. Adv. assisted by
Mr. Prateek Mathur,
Mr. Abhishek Mewara &
Mr. Utkarsh Dubey
Mr. Kamlakar Sharma, Sr. Adv.
assisted by Ms. Alankrita Sharma &
Mr. Madhusudan Singh Rajpurohit
Mr. Vikas Balia, Sr. Adv. through VC
assisted by Mr. Palash Srivastava,
Mr. Hemshankar Vyas,
Mr. Sachin Saraswat & Mr. Priyansh
Arora
Mr. Tanveer Ahamad with
Mr. Yashvardhan Singh Shekhawat &
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Dhawan



For Respondent(s) : Major R.P. Singh, Sr. Adv. assisted by
Mr. Harendra Neel &
Mr. Jaivardhan Singh Shekhawat

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

08/09/2025

1. Defect(s) pointed out by the Registry in D.B. S.A.W. Nos. 1074/2025, 1076/2025 & 1080/2025, are waived.
2. Application No.01/2025 filed in D.B. S.A.W. No.1076/2025, is allowed.
3. Heard.
4. During the course of arguments, it is noticed that the learned Single Judge in its judgment dated 28.08.2025 has relied on a report dated 13.08.2024, which has been stated to be submitted by one, Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, IPS, who is said to be holding the post of Additional Director General of Police for the Anti-Terrorist Squad (A.T.S.) and Special Operations Group (S.O.G.) and who is also the Chief/Chairman of the Special Investigation Team (S.I.T.). The said report dated 13.08.2024 has admittedly been submitted by the writ petitioners before the High Court without filing of any affidavit by Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, ADGP, in support of such a report. It has not been received under the R.T.I. Act.
5. On a specific query raised to the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the writ petitioners, as to how this report was handed over to the writ petitioners, there is no specific answer forthcoming. It has also been admitted that the said report, which has been noticed by learned Single Judge, is not on public domain.



[SAW-1075/2025]

6. We find that the learned Single Judge has extensively relied on the said report in its judgment. The challenge before us is the judgment passed by learned Single Judge dated 28.08.2025 whereby the selections of Sub-Inspectors, the entire recruitment process including the result and recommendations of the Sub-Inspectors/Platoon Commanders, has been cancelled.

7. It has also come to notice of this Court that learned Single Judge has relied upon a letter dated 22.08.2024 of the Director General of Police, which was placed by the writ petitioners, is without there being any supporting affidavit of the concerned signatory to the letter. Learned Single Judge has also relied on the Cabinet Ministers' Committee recommendations dated 10.10.2024, which have been cited on the basis of media reports.

8. Considering that the issue involves cancellation of a selection process conducted by the Rajasthan Public Service Commission (RPSC) and the reports relied upon have remained unattested and also considering that there are scathing remarks as against the Members of the Public Service Commission based on such unauthentic report, the judgment would be required to be re-examined on the entire aspects afresh by us.

9. In view thereof, issue notice to the respondents.

10. Mr. Harendra Neel, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent Nos.5 to 29 in D.B. S.A.W. Nos.1075/2025 & 1074/2025, respondent Nos.2 to 26 in D.B. S.A.W. No.1076/2025 and respondent Nos. 1 to 25 in D.B. S.A.W. No.1080/2025.

11. Service of notices upon respondent Nos.30 to 138 in D.B. S.A.W. No.1075/2025, respondent Nos.30 to 139 in D.B. S.A.W. No.1074/2025, respondent Nos.30 to 193 in D.B. S.A.W.



[SAW-1075/2025]

No.1076/2025 and respondent Nos.30 to 188 in D.B. S.A.W. No.1080/2025, is dispensed with at this stage.

12. Thus, let notices be issued only to the respondent Nos.1 to 4 in D.B. S.A.W. Nos.1075/2025 & 1074/2025, respondent Nos.1, 27 to 29 in D.B. S.A.W. No.1076/2025 and respondent Nos.26 to 29 in D.B. S.A.W. No.1080/2025.

13. Learned Advocate General shall put in appearance on behalf of the State Government and we request him to assist this Court on all the aspects.

14. List these matters again on 08.10.2025.

15. In the meanwhile and till further orders, effect & operation of the judgment dated 28.08.2025 passed by learned Single Judge in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.13806/2024 and other connected matters, shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

16. However, we noticed that there is an interim order passed by learned Single Judge, which was not disturbed by learned Division Bench in appeal, therefore, we direct the State Government not to make any field postings to the selected Sub-Inspectors under the concerned main selection process. However, they would be allowed to participate in the training.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),J

SAHIL SONI /187-189, Comp.-1