



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE PRAMOD KUMAR AGRAWAL

ON THE 6th OF JANUARY, 2026

MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 49390 of 2025

YASIN AHMED

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

.....
Appearance:

Shri Ajay Gupta - Senior Advocate with Shri Rakesh Kumar Tiwari -
Advocate for the applicant.

Shri C.M. Tiwari - Govt. Advocate for the respondent/State.
.....

ORDER

This is the first application filed by the applicant under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail relating to Crime No. 131/2025 registered at Police Station - Arera Hills Bhopal, District Bhopal (M.P.) for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 336(3) & 340(2) of BNS, 2023. The applicant has been arrested on 01.08.2025.

2. As per the prosecution story, on 25.07.2025, complainant Gaurav Sharma, Editor of MP Breaking News portal filed a complaint at Police Station Arera Hills, Bhopal stating that his acquaintances had informed him that applicant/accused, Yaseen Ahmed was allegedly using the "Journalist" Vidhana Sabha Parking Pass bearing No.433 issued to the Gaurav Sharma for Vehicle No. MP-04-TB-3950 and valid for December 2024 Assembly Session. The Vidhan Sabha Parking Pass being used by the



applicant/accused seemed to be forged and was being utilized by applicant/accused on his Vehicle No. MP-04-ZL-0999 illegally. Therefore, aforesaid offence has been registered against him.

3. Learned Senior Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He is in custody since 1.08.2025. It is further submitted that applicant was in custody since 23.07.2025 relating to crime no. 103/2025 at P.C. Crime Branch Bhopal. As per the complainant himself he has used this pass on his vehicle till August, 2024. Applicant has not used this pass for entries in Vidhan Sabha and he has not made any forged document. It is submitted that though applicant is having criminal antecedents but in most of the cases he has been acquitted by the trial Court. Conclusion of the trial will take considerable time, therefore, it has been prayed that the applicant may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for State has opposed the grant of bail to the applicant and submitted that alleged pass was issued by the Office of Vidhan Sabha for the entry of Journalist on Vehicle No. MP-04-TB-3950 but that pass is used by the present applicant on his vehicle No. MP-04-ZL-0999 for his personal use. It is submitted that applicant is criminal history sheeter and he was using the vehicle with the pass for illegal activities. It is submitted that applicant has made forged pass and affixed it on his vehicle which was only for use of entry in Vidhan Sabha and this is the threat to the security of MLAs and Ministers of Vidhan Sabha. Applicant is having criminal antecedents. Hence, he does not deserve grant of bail.



5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Looking to aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as seriousness of offence and criminal record of the applicant, I am not inclined to grant bail to him.

7. Accordingly, present MCRC stands **dismissed**.

(PRAMOD KUMAR AGRAWAL)
JUDGE

navin