

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT INDORE

WP No. 50628 of 2025

(RITESH INANI Vs STATE OF M.P. AND OTHERS)

WP/50641/2025, WP/50646/2025, WP/247/2026, WP/496/2026

Dated : 05-03-2026

Shri.Ajay Bagadia - Sr. Advocate with Ms.Saily Purandare - Advocate for the petitioner in WP No.247/2026, Shri Aditya Pratap Singh - Advocate for the petitioner in WP No.50628/2025, Shri.Manish Yadav - Advocate for the petitioner in WP No.50641/2025, Shri M.S Chandel - Advocate for the petitioner in WP No.50646/2025 and Shri Ritesh Inani- Advocate for the petitioner in WP No.496/2026.

Shri Kushal Goyal - Dy.AG for respondent/State.

Shri Anil Ojha - Advocate for the proposed intervener.

Shri Neeraj Kumar Soni - Advocate for the proposed intervener.

Shri Vibhor Khandelwal - Advocate for the proposed intervener.

Shri Rishi Tiwari - Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

In compliance with the order dated 27.1.2026, the one-member Judicial Commission appointed by this Court (headed by Shri Justice (Retd.) Sushil Kumar Gupta) has submitted an interim report. A prayer for extension of time has been made by the Commission for submitting the final report.

On going through the report, we find that there is a note appended by the Commission that certain relevant records requisitioned from the Indore Municipal Corporation including (1) Complete Official records pertaining to water supply management; (2) Postmortem reports of the deceased persons; (3) Water testing and laboratory analysis reports; (4) Records relating to

installation of water pipelines and sewage lines; (5) Tender documents and contractual records concerning pipeline installation; (6) Complaints received from residents and the action taken reports thereon are still awaited from the concerned authorities. It is further mentioned that in the absence of these essential documents, it is presently not possible for the Commission to furnish detailed findings or arrive at a conclusive determination in the Bhagirathpura matter. The Commission will submit a comprehensive report after receipt and thorough examination of the aforesaid record.

Shri Rishi Tiwari - learned counsel for Indore Municipal Corporation along with Shri Ashish Pathak - Additional Commissioner, Indore Municipal Corporation, submits that in reference to the letter dated 10.2.2026 issued by the Commission, the records have been made available. Another letter was issued calling for certain records. He submits that the records which are mentioned in the note appended to the report shall be made available to the Commission expeditiously as far as possible within 10 days from today. It is further informed that certain records are required from the Indore Development Authority (IDA). Considering the same, we asked the Chief Executive Officer, Indore Development Authority, to act upon the request of the Indore Municipal Corporation with top priority and to make the requisite record available to the OIC of the Indore Municipal Corporation. We also request the Commission to depute an employee for the purpose of accepting the documents in the office of the Commission during specified office hours on working days.

Considering the interim report, we extend one months time to submit

the report. List the matter on 6.4.2026.

The Registry is directed to upload the interim report of the Judicial Commission.

In regard to the directions passed by this Court in compliance with the interim directions issued by this Court, counsel for the Corporation submits that he has filed a further status report. The documents are taken on record.

WP No.247/2026.

Shri Ajay Bagadiya - learned Sr. Counsel with Ms.Saily Purandare - Advocate for the petitioner.

Heard on IA No.2017/2026, which is an application for the deletion of the name of respondent No.2 from the array of respondents. It is stated in the application that the Municipal Corporation has sought to be impleaded through the Commissioner, Indore Municipal Corporation, but due to inadvertence by name, the Commissioner has been impleaded as a party.

There is no objection by any of the parties.

Considering the same, it is directed that the name of Shri Dilip Yadav be deleted from the description of the respondent No.2 and the remaining description of the respondent No.2 shall remain as it is.

With the aforesaid, IA No.2017/2026 is allowed. The necessary correction will be carried out within three working days.

IA No.1874/2026 will be considered on the next date of hearing.

(VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA)
JUDGE

(ALOK AWASTHI)
JUDGE

