



1

MCRC-18875-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VISHAL MISHRA

ON THE 10th OF JUNE, 2026MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 18875 of 2026*SAURABH SHARMA**Versus**DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT*

.....
Appearance:

Shri Manu Maheshwari - Advocate appears through video conferencing for the applicant.

Shri Vikram Singh - Advocate for the respondent.

.....

ORDER

The applicant has filed this application under Section 439 of the CrPC/483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) for grant of temporary bail for a period of 60 days relating to Crime No. ECIR/BHZO/19/2024 dated 21.12.2024 registered at Police Station Directorate of Enforcement, Bhopal Zone, Bhopal (M.P.) for the offences under Sections 3 and 4 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), 2002 on the ground of health condition of his wife.

2. In pursuance to the direction given by the Court regarding health status report of applicant's wife, the same has been placed on record by the respondent.

3. The temporary bail is pleaded on the ground that applicant's wife namely Smt. Divya Tiwari is required to undergo sinus surgery. As per the



medical report, diagnosis of the wife is Chronic Rhinosinusitis (CRS), left-sided, with suspected migraine and the surgery (Septoplasty with Left Middle Meatal Antrostomy) has been advised. It is submitted that there is no other responsible family member available to look after his wife. Under these circumstances, a temporary bail for a period of 60 days be granted to the applicant to enable him to take care of his wife.

4. Counsel appearing for the respondent has pointed out that there is no emergency situation. It is argued that earlier regular bail application of the applicant has been rejected on merits in detail vide order dated 27.09.2025 passed in MCrC No. 19270 of 2025, finding the involvement of the present applicant in the offence and the said order rejecting the application for regular bail was subjected to challenge before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 17044/2025 and vide order dated 20.11.2025, the special leave petition was dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Counsel appearing for the respondent has drawn attention of this Court to the report given by the authority and has argued that as the health condition of applicant's wife is not serious coupled with the fact that there are other family members available to take care of his wife, no case for grant of temporary bail is made out. He has prayed for dismissal of the application.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The medical report suggests that there is no emergency condition coupled with the fact that during course of the arguments, it has been admitted that there are other family members available to take care of the wife including in-laws. As the regular bail application of the applicant has



already been rejected by this Court by a detailed order dated 27.09.2025, finding involvement of the applicant in commission of the offence coupled with the fact that there is no serious emergency situation as pointed out in the report submitted by the medical expert, no case for grant of temporary bail is made out.

8. Consequently, the application stands **rejected**.

(VISHAL MISHRA)
V. JUDGE