

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR

WP No. 30009 of 2026

(ANVI RAJORIYA Vs NATIONAL TESTING AGENCY NTA AND OTHERS)

Dated : 13-08-2026

Shri Siddharth Sijoria - Advocate for petitioner.

Shri Praveen Kumar Newaskar - Deputy Solicitor General for respondents/UOI.

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

- "a) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondents to produce and verify the original physical OMR Answer Sheet of the petitioner pertaining to NEET (UG)-2026 by comparing the same with the scanned OMR Answer Sheet uploaded on the official portal;
- b) Direct the respondents, upon verification of the original OMR Answer Sheet, to evaluate/re-evaluate the petitioner's NEET (UG)-2026 examination on the basis of the original physical OMR Answer Sheet and to revise the petitioner's result, if any discrepancy is found, together with all consequential benefits, including consideration for counselling and admission in accordance with the revised result;
- c) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondents to consider and decide the petitioner's representation dated 16.07.2026 by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a time frame as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court;
- d) Pending final disposal of the present writ petition, direct the respondents to forthwith preserve the petitioner's original OMR Answer Sheet and all connected physical and electronic records so that no alteration, overwriting, deletion or destruction thereof takes place;
- e) Award the costs of the present petition in favour of

the petitioner; and

f) Pass such other or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case."

Yesterday, a statement was made by counsel for respondents that although the return could not be filed, but he has uploaded relevant documents in the reference column of ERP.

Since counsel for petitioner had not noticed the uploading of documents, therefore, he had prayed for a day's time to go through the documents.

Today, it is submitted by Shri Sijoria that the only controversy involved in the present case is as to whether the physical OMR sheet is identical to the digital OMR sheet which has been uploaded by respondents in the reference column of ERP or not. It is further submitted that in case if it is found that the original OMR sheet is identical to the digital OMR sheet which has been uploaded, then he will not have any further argument and will not have any further case.

Accordingly, Shri Newaskar submitted that he may be granted three days' time to produce the original OMR sheet in a sealed cover.

The prayer for adjournment for three days was not opposed by counsel for petitioner.

Accordingly, at the joint request of counsel for petitioner and respondents, list this case on 18.08.2026.

It is made clear that the respondents must provide the original OMR sheet in a sealed cover and no further adjournment in that regard will be

given.

The case shall be taken up at 10:30 AM, irrespective of serial number at which it might be listed.

(G. S. AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

(ANURADHA SHUKLA)
JUDGE

Aman