

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR

WP No. 30495 of 2026

(NISHKARSH SONI S/O SHRI NARESH KUMAR SONI THOUGH NATURAL/LLEGAL GUARDIAN NARESH
KUAMR SONI Vs UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS)

Dated : 13-08-2026

Shri D.P. Singh - Advocate for petitioner.

*Shri Praveen Kumar Newaskar - Deputy Solicitor General for
respondents/UOI.*

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been
filed seeking the following reliefs:

"(i) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondent authorities to produce and verify the petitioner's original OMR Answer Sheet, along with the original evaluation record, and examine the discrepancies appearing in the OMR Response Sheets downloaded by the petitioner from the official portal on 13.07.2026 and 20.07.2026;

(ii) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondent authorities to re-compute/re-evaluate the petitioner's marks strictly on the basis of the original OMR Answer Sheet and the original examination record, after rectifying the erroneous question mapping and evaluation, in accordance with law.

(iii) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondent authorities to declare a revised result of the petitioner by awarding the marks found due upon such verification and re-computation, and to extend all consequential benefits, including consideration for admission to MBBS/BDS and other undergraduate medical courses, if found entitled;

(iv) Pending final adjudication of the present writ petition, direct the respondent authorities to preserve and produce the petitioner's original OMR Answer Sheet, digital response data, server logs, audit trail,

evaluation records and all connected documents relating to the petitioner's NEET (UG)-2026 examination, so as to ensure that the subject matter of the present petition is not rendered infructuous;

(v) Pass such other or further writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case, including the award of costs of the present petition in favour of the petitioner."

Yesterday, a statement was made by counsel for respondents that although the return could not be filed, but he has uploaded relevant documents in the reference column of ERP.

Since counsel for petitioner had not noticed the uploading of documents, therefore, he had prayed for a day's time to go through the documents.

Today, it is submitted by Shri Singh that the only controversy involved in the present case is as to whether the physical OMR sheet is identical to the digital OMR sheet which has been uploaded by respondents in the reference column of ERP or not. It is further submitted that in case if it is found that the original OMR sheet is identical to the digital OMR sheet which has been uploaded, then he will not have any further argument and will not have any further case.

Accordingly, Shri Newaskar submitted that he may be granted three days' time to produce the original OMR sheet in a sealed cover.

The prayer for adjournment for three days was not opposed by counsel for petitioner.

Accordingly, at the joint request of counsel for petitioner and respondents, list this case on 18.08.2026.

It is made clear that the respondents must provide the original OMR sheet in a sealed cover and no further adjournment in that regard will be given.

The case shall be taken up at 10:30 AM, irrespective of serial number at which it might be listed.

(G. S. AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

(ANURADHA SHUKLA)
JUDGE

Aman